



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,486	07/26/2007	Gilles Durand	IPG-PT003	1777
3624	7590	11/13/2009	EXAMINER	
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.			DANIELS, ANTHONY J	
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600				
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,486	DURAND ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANTHONY J. DANIELS	2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2009.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 7-9 and 11-24 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 7-9 and 11-24 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/30/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 7–24 and the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. Accordingly, this rejection has been withdrawn.

2. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 7-24 and the Saari et al. rejection (35 U.S.C. 102(b)) and the Sladen et al. in view of Saari et al. rejection (35 U.S.C. 103(a)) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vance et al. (US # 6,992,699).

As to claim 7, Vance et al. teaches a multidirectional image acquisition system (Figures 5 and 6) comprising: an image sensor (Figure 5, image sensor "32"); at least one optical member (Figure 5, lens "54"); and a reflecting member (Figure 5, mirror "56") configured to re-direct light incident thereon toward the image sensor and mounted within the multidirectional image acquisition system, in an optical path between the at least one optical member and the image sensor, the reflecting member and the at least one optical member being rotatable about an optical axis of the image sensor (Figures 5 and 6; Col. 3, Line 63 – Col. 4, Line 23).

As to claim 15, Vance et al. teaches a communications terminal (Figure 2, camera phone "10") comprising: a casing (Figure 2, housing "40") and a display disposed within the casing (Figure 2, display "26"), the display having a screen exposed from an external surface of the terminal (Figure 2); an image sensor disposed within the casing (Figure 4, image sensor "32"); at least one optical member (Figure 3, lens "54"); and a reflecting member disposed within the casing (Figure 4, mirror "56") and configured to re-direct light incident thereon toward the image sensor in an optical path between the at least one optical member and the image sensor, the reflecting member and the at least one optical member being rotatable about the optical axis of the image sensor (Figures 5 and 6; Col. 3, Line 63 – Col. 4, Line 23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 7-16 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sladen et al. (US 2002/0061767) in view of Vance et al. (US # 6,992,699).

As to claim 7, Sladen et al. teaches a multidirectional image acquisition system (Figure 2 and Figure 3) comprising: an image sensor (Figure 2, video camera “202”); at least one optical member (Figure 2, lens “214”); and a reflecting member configured to re-direct light incident thereon toward the image sensor and mounted within the multidirectional image acquisition system (Figure 2, mirror “204”; [0027], Lines 23-36). The claim differs from Sladen et al. in that it further requires that the reflecting member be located in an optical path between the at least one optical member and the image sensor and that the reflecting member and the at least one optical member be rotatable about an optical axis of the image sensor.

In the same field of endeavor, Vance et al. teaches a camera device with selectable image paths including a lens housing wherein a mirror is located between a lens and an image sensor. A user selects an imaging path by manually rotating both the reflecting member and the lens about

an optical axis in order to direct light to the image sensor (Figures 5 and 6; Col. 3, Line 63 - Col. 4, Line 23). In light of the teaching of Vance et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the ability in Sladen et al. to rotate both the lens and the mirror in order to direct light to the image sensor, because this would provide a more compact imaging portion for the portable communication device of Sladen et al.

As to claim 8, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the system of claim 7 further comprising a casing split into a first portion that contains the optical member and the reflecting member and a second portion that contains the image sensor (see Sladen et al., Figure 2 and Vance et al., Figures 5 and 6; *{The examiner interprets the portion of the casing containing the lens and the mirror as the first portion and the portion containing the video camera sensor as the second portion.}*).

As to claim 9, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the system of claim 8 wherein the casing is shaped as a cylinder and the first portion is rotatable with respect to the second portion about a central axis of the cylinder (see Sladen et al., Figure 15; [0036] and [0037]; see Vance et al., Figures 5 and 6).

As to claim 10, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the system of claim 7 wherein the optical member and the image sensor are adjacent to one another (see Sladen et al., Figure 2 and Vance et al., Figures 5 and 6; *{The lens and video camera are optically adjacent.}*).

As to claim 11, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the system of claim 7 wherein the reflecting member is one of a mirror (see Sladen et al., Figure 2, mirror “204” and Vance et al., Figures 5 and 6, mirror “56”) and a prism.

As to claim 12, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the system of claim 7 further comprising a single part, the optical member being a bubble formed in the single part, and the reflecting member being a metalized oblique edge of the single plastic part (see Vance et al., Figures 5 and 6). Although Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., does not state that the single part is plastic, the examiner takes **Official Notice** that the concept of using plastic to form imaging portions in camera phone devices is well known and expected in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated use plastic in the device of Vance et al., because one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that plastic is a durable material not prone to damage.

As to claim 13, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the system of claim 12 wherein the image sensor is disposed within the single plastic part (see Sladen et al., Figure 2).

As to claim 14, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the system of claim 7 wherein the reflecting member is disposed outside of a divergence cone of the optical member (see Vance et al., Figures 5 and 6).

As to claim 15, Sladen et al. teaches a communications terminal (Figure 2) comprising: a casing (Figure 2, mobile phone housing “200”) and a display disposed within the casing, the display having a screen exposed from an external surface of the terminal (Figure 2, display “206”); an image sensor disposed within the casing (Figure 2, video camera “202”); at least one optical member (Figure 2, lens “214”); and a reflecting member disposed within the casing (Figure 2, mirror “204”) and configured to re-direct light incident thereon toward the image sensor in an optical path of the image sensor (Figure 2; [0027], Lines 23-36). The claim differs from Sladen et al. in that it further requires that the reflecting member be located in an optical path between the at least one optical member and the image sensor and that the reflecting

member and the at least one optical member be rotatable about an optical axis of the image sensor.

In the same field of endeavor, Vance et al. teaches a camera device with selectable image paths including a lens housing wherein a mirror is located between a lens and an image sensor. A user selects an imaging path by manually rotating both the reflecting member and the lens about an optical axis in order to direct light to the image sensor (Figures 5 and 6; Col. 3, Line 63 - Col. 4, Line 23). In light of the teaching of Vance et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the ability in Sladen et al. to rotate both the lens and the mirror in order to direct light to the image sensor, because this would provide a more compact imaging portion for the portable communication device of Sladen et al.

As to claim 16, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the terminal of claim 15 further comprising a keypad disposed on the external surface of the casing (see Sladen et al., Figure 2, keypad “212”).

As to claim 18, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the terminal of claim 15 wherein the casing is split into a first portion, which contains the optical member and the reflecting member, and a second portion, which contains the image sensor (see Sladen et al., Figure 2 and Vance et al., Figures 5 and 6; *{The examiner interprets the portion of the casing containing the lens and the mirror as the first portion and the portion containing the video camera sensor as the second portion.}*).

As to claim 19, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the terminal of claim 18 wherein the casing is shaped as a cylinder and the first portion is rotatable with respect to the

second portion about a central axis of the cylinder (see Sladen et al., Figure 15; [0036] and [0037]; see Vance et al., Figures 5 and 6).

As to claim **20**, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the terminal of claim 15 wherein the optical member is disposed within the casing (see Sladen et al., Figure 2), and the reflecting member, the optical member and the image sensor comprise an image acquisition system of the terminal adjacent an upper edge of the casing (see Sladen et al., Figure 4).

As to claim **21**, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the terminal of claim 15 wherein the optical member is disposed within the casing (see Sladen et al., Figure 2), and the reflecting member, the optical member and the image sensor comprise an image acquisition system of the terminal adjacent a side edge of the casing (see Sladen et al., Figure 4).

As to claim **22**, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the terminal of claim 15 wherein the image sensor and the optical member are oriented perpendicularly to one another (see Vance, Figures 5 and 6).

As to claim **23**, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the terminal of claim 15 wherein the reflecting member is disposed outside of a divergence cone of the optical member (see Sladen et al., Figure 2).

As to claim **24**, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the terminal of claim 15 wherein the reflecting member is one of a mirror (see Sladen et al., Figure 2, mirror “204”) and a prism.

2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sladen et al. (US 2002/0061767) in view of Vance et al. (US # 6,992,699) and further in view of Robb (US # 6,177,950).

As to claim 17, Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., teaches the terminal of claim 16. The claim differs from Sladen et al., as modified by Vance et al., in that it further requires that the image sensor is connected with the display via a flexible wire.

In the same field of endeavor, Robb teaches a multidirectional imaging system wherein an image sensor is connected with a display through a flexible wire (Figure 6, camera “2” and flexible electrical circuit ribbon “118”). In light of the teaching of Robb, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the flexible wire connection between the video camera and display of Sladen et al., because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this would provide a robust connection not prone to damage.

Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J. DANIELS whose telephone number is (571)272-7362. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner’s supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AD
11/6/2009

/Sinh Tran/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622